13 JUL 15 PM 3: 42

HEARINGS CLERK

COMPLAINT - 1

DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2013-0123

BEFORE THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

	, ,	
In the Matter of:)	
)	DOCKET NO.
)	CWA-10-2013-0123
Special Interest Auto Works, Inc. and)	
Troy Peterson, Individual)	
*)	COMPLAINT
Kent, WA)	,
(ac)	
Respondent.)	

I. AUTHORITIES

- 1.1. This administrative Complaint ("Complaint") is issued under the authority vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") by Sections 308(a) and 309(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. §§ 1318(a) and 1319(a). The Administrator has delegated this authority to the Regional Administrator of EPA, Region 10, who in turn has redelegated this authority to the Director of the Office of Compliance and Enforcement in Region 10.
- 1.2. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(B), and in accordance with the "Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties," 40 C.F.R Part 22, the EPA hereby proposes the assessment of a civil penalty

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101

against Special Interest Auto Works, Inc. and Troy Peterson ("Respondents") for violations of the CWA.

1.3. In accordance with Section 309(g)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.38(b), the EPA has provided the State of Washington with an opportunity to consult with the EPA on this matter.

II. STATUTORY AND REGULATORY BACKGROUND

- 2.1. Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the "discharge of any pollutant by any person" except as authorized by a National Pollutant Discharge and Elimination System ("NPDES") permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.
- 2.2. Section 502(12) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(12), defines "discharge of a pollutant" to include "any addition of any pollutant to navigable waters from any point source."
- 2.3. Section 502(6) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(6), defines "pollutant" to include, inter alia, industrial waste discharged into water.
- 2.4. Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), defines "navigable waters" as "waters of the United States."
- 2.5. 40 C.F.R. § 122.2 defines "waters of the United States" to include "tributaries" to waters that are "interstate waters" and/or waters that "may be susceptible to use in interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide"
- 2.6. Section 502(14) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14), defines "point source" to include "any discernible, confined and discrete conveyance, including but not limited to any pipe, ditch, channel, tunnel, conduit ... from which pollutants are or may be discharged."
- 2.7. Section 502(5) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5), defines "person" as "an individual, corporation, partnership, association, State, municipality, commission, or political subdivision of a State, or any interstate body."

2.8.

required for any stormwater discharge "associated with industrial activity." Section 402(p) also authorizes the EPA to issue regulations that designate additional stormwater discharge sources and establish a comprehensive program to regulate these additional sources.

2.9 40 C.F.R. 8.122.26(b)(14)(vi) defines "[s]torm water associated with industrial."

Section 402(p) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, specifies that an NPDES permit is

- 2.9. 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(b)(14)(vi) defines "[s]torm water associated with industrial activity" to include discharges associated with "[f]acilities involved in the recycling of materials, including metal scrap yards, battery reclaimers, salvage yards, and automobile junkyards, including . . . those classified as Standard Industrial Classification 5015 and 5093."
- 2.10. The State of Washington has been authorized by the EPA to administer the NPDES program. As an authorized state under the CWA, in October 2009, the State of Washington issued the Washington Industrial Stormwater General Permit ("ISGP") pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. The ISGP became effective on January 1, 2010 and authorizes certain discharges of stormwater associated with industrial activity at permitted facilities.
- 2.11. Coverage under the ISGP is available to facilities engaged in certain industrial activities, including recycling facilities involved in the recycling of materials, including but not limited to, metal scrap yards, battery reclaimers, salvage yards, auto recyclers, and automobile junkyards, if those facilities propose to discharge pollutants via stormwater, surface water body, or to a storm sewer system that discharges to a surface water body.
- 2.12. The ISGP's coverage extends to discharges of stormwater and conditionally approved non-stormwater discharges to waters of the State of Washington, which includes waters of the United States within the jurisdiction of the State of Washington. Once covered, permittees are required to comply with the conditions and requirements set forth in the ISGP.

- 2.13. Facilities that require coverage under the ISGP must submit a complete and accurate permit application to the Department of Ecology in accordance with the procedures set forth in the ISGP at S1.
- 2.14. Section 308(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1318(a), authorizes the EPA to require the owner or operator of any point source to provide such information as may be reasonably required in carrying out Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342. Pursuant to Section 308(a), the EPA has promulgated NPDES permit application requirements. Among these application requirements are:
 - the requirement set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 122.21(a)(1) that "[a]ny person who discharges or proposes to discharge pollutants ... must submit a complete application to the Director,"
 - the requirement set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(c)(1) that "[d]ischarges of stormwater associated with industrial activity are required to apply for an individual permit or seek coverage under a promulgated stormwater general permit," and,
 - the requirement set forth in 40 C.F.R. § 122.21(c)(1) that Facilities proposing a new discharge of stormwater associated with industrial activity shall submit an application 180 days before that facility commences industrial activity which may result in a discharge of stormwater associated with that industrial activity unless an applicable NPDES general permit specifies a different submittal date.
- 2.15. Section 309(g)(1) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(1), authorizes the EPA to assess administrative penalties against any person who violates Section 301 or 308 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311 or 1318.

III. ALLEGATIONS

3.1. Respondent Special Interest Auto Works, Inc. is a corporation registered under the laws of the State of Washington and thus is a "person" as defined in Section 502(5) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5). Respondent Troy Peterson is an individual who, at all times

COMPLAINT - 4

DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2013-0123

relevant to the Complaint, either owned, leased or otherwise controlled the real property that is the subject of this Complaint and/or otherwise controlled the activities that occurred on such property. As an individual Mr. Peterson is a "person" as defined in Section 502(5) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).

- 3.2. Respondents have day-to-day operational control of those activities at the Special Interest Auto Wrecking facility ("Site") that cause releases necessitating permit coverage under the ISGP.
- 3.3. Respondents' business at the Site includes operation of Special Interest Auto Wrecking, which involves industrial activities that are among those described by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) code 5015. SIC Code 5015 includes battery reclaimers, salvage yards, and automobile recyclers.
- 3.4. The Site is located at 25923 78th Ave S., Kent, WA, 98032, and is approximately 6.8 acres in size.
- 3.5. The Site is located at the top of a short, steep bank of the Green River. The Green River is the receiving water for all surface stormwater discharges from the Site.
- 3.6. The Green River is a navigable water as defined in Section 502(7) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7), and a "water of the United States" as defined in 40 C.F.R. § 122.2.
- 3.7. As the operator of a regulated industrial facility that discharges stormwater into waters of the United States, Respondents were required to obtain coverage under the ISGP or obtain an individual NPDES permit before beginning industrial activities.
- 3.8. On or about August 1, 2008, Respondents began auto salvage activities that resulted in discharges of pollutants via stormwater to the Green River. Respondent did not obtain coverage under the ISGP or obtain an individual NPDES permit.

- 3.9. In early November 2011, the EPA mailed to Respondents, along with other unpermitted auto salvage yards, a letter and brochure informing them of the Washington Department of Ecology's permit requirements, the EPA's auto recycler compliance initiative, and the potential ramifications of noncompliance with permit requirements.
- 3.10. The EPA followed the communication described in Paragraph 3.9 with a Site inspection on February 24, 2012. During the Site inspection, the EPA inspector reviewed potential areas of concern at the Site, described the permit requirements, provided materials informing Respondents of the permit requirements, and advised the Site manager to look for stormwater discharges from the facility during storm events. The manager was told that if the Site discharged stormwater to the Green River, permit coverage was required.
- 3.11. During the February 24, 2012, site inspection the EPA documented Site conditions that could expose stormwater to pollutants from industrial activities and lead to unauthorized pollutant discharges to the Green River. There was evidence of numerous oil and gas spills, auto fluid spills on the ground, and no pollution prevention measures were in place in the vicinity of the vehicle crusher. The EPA observed evidence of automobile fluids being carried via stormwater to ponded areas along the northern boundary of the Site and into the Green River.
- 3.12. The following month, on March 29, 2012, the EPA conducted a second inspection in which the EPA sampled stormwater discharges from the facility flowing through channels and conduits into the Green River and, during the inspection, advised the facility of the permit requirements for industrial stormwater dischargers.
- 3.13. Analysis of the samples collected on March 29, 2012 showed the presence of the following substances in the stormwater runoff: petroleum, zinc, copper, arsenic, cadmium and

COMPLAINT - 6

lead. These substances are "pollutants" pursuant to Section 502(6) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1362(6).

- 3.14. After the EPA's samples had been analyzed, on July 3, 2012, the EPA sent a Notice of Violation and copy of the inspection report to Respondents again advising them of the ongoing unpermitted dischargers and of the need to seek permit coverage and comply with the Clean Water Act.
- 3.15. According to the Washington Department of Ecology, Respondents did not submit a Notice of Intent to apply for coverage under the ISGP until October 4, 2012.

Count 1 (Failure to Apply for a Permit)

- 3.16. Paragraphs 1.1 through 3.15 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.17. At the time they began automobile salvage operations at the Site, Respondents were each a "person who discharges or proposes to discharge pollutants" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.21(a)(1).
- 3.18. At the time they began automobile salvage operations at the Site, Respondents were each a "discharger of stormwater associated with industrial activity" within the meaning of 40 C.F.R. § 122.26(c) and they were "operator[s]" within the meaning of the ISGP.
- 3.19. As the operators of the Site, Respondents were required to either submit a Notice of Intent to obtain coverage under the GP or apply for an individual NPDES permit before beginning industrial activities at the Site.
- 3.20. Between or about August 1, 2008 and October 4, 2012, Respondents failed to apply for an individual NPDES permit or properly seek coverage under the ISGP.
- 3.21. Respondents' failure to timely apply for an NPDES permit placed Respondents in violation of the requirements imposed pursuant to Section 308 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1318.

Pursuant to Section 309(g) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g), and 40 C.F.R Part 19, Respondents are liable for civil penalties not to exceed \$11,000 per day for the days between August 1, 2008 and January 12, 2009 during which this violation continued. Respondents are liable for up to \$16,000 per day for the additional days from January 12, 2009 through October 3, 2012 during which the violation continued.

Count 2 (Discharge Without a Permit)

- 3.22. Paragraphs 1.1 through 3.15 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.23. The auto salvage activities and associated conditions at the Site resulted in the discharge of pollutants in "stormwater associated with industrial activity" to the Green River.
- 3.24. Industrial stormwater from the Site was contaminated with petroleum, zinc, copper, arsenic, cadmium and lead.
- 3.25. The channel leading from the Site described in Paragraph 3.12 constitutes a "point source" within the meaning of Section 502(14) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(14).
- 3.26. By causing such stormwater to enter waters of the United States, Respondents engaged in the "discharge of pollutants" from a point source within the meaning of Sections 301(a) and 502(12) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a) and 1362(12).
- 3.27. Respondents' discharges of stormwater from August 1, 2008 through October 4, 2012 were not authorized by a permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. §1342. Therefore, Respondents violated Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a).
- 3.28. Each day that stormwater was discharged without the required permit constitutes an additional day of violation of Section 301 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311. Pursuant to Section 309(g) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondents are liable for civil penalties not to exceed \$11,000 per day for each day during which the violation occurred from

COMPLAINT - 9

DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2013-0123

August 1, 2008 through January 12, 2009. Respondents are liable for up to \$16,000 per day for each day during which the violation continued from January 13, 2009 through July 16, 2012.

IV. PROPOSED PENALTY

- 4.1 Based on the foregoing allegations, Respondents violated Section 301(a), 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), and Section 308, 33 U.S.C. §1318, of the CWA. Consequently, pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(B) of the CWA, and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, Respondents are liable for the administrative assessment of civil penalties in an amount not to exceed \$11,000 per day for each violation that occurred through January 12, 2009, and \$16,000 per day for each violation that occurred after January 12, 2009, up to a maximum of \$177,500.
- 4.2 Respondents discharged pollutants to the Green River, a water of the United States, on repeated occasions between August 1, 2008 and July 16, 2012 without authorization by the applicable industrial stormwater discharge permit, in violation of 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a). Respondents also failed to seek permit coverage under the applicable permit, in violation of 33 U.S.C. §1318.
- 4.3 In accordance with Section 22.14(a)(4)(ii) of the Part 22 Rules, 40 C.F.R. § 22.14(a)(4)(ii), Complainant proposes that a Final Order be issued to Respondents assessing administrative penalties in an amount not to exceed \$177,500, taking into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violations, and, with respect to the violators, ability to pay, any prior history of such violations, the degree of culpability, economic benefit or savings (if any) resulting from the violations, and such other matters as justice may require. CWA Section 309(g)(3), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(3).
- 4.3.1 *Nature, Circumstances, and Gravity of Violations:* Respondents' failure to seek coverage under the applicable industrial stormwater permit, as well as their unauthorized discharges of pollutants and contaminants into waters of the United States, are serious violations

10

13 14

16

15

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

COMPLAINT - 10 DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2013-0123

that significantly undermine the CWA's regulatory scheme and cause potential harm to the environment.

4.3.1.1 The NPDES permitting system is designed, in part, to prevent stormwater runoff from washing harmful pollutants into local surface waters such as streams, rivers, lakes or coastal waters. Automobile salvage yards are one of the specific categories of industrial facilities that are prohibited from discharging pollutants in stormwater discharges without authorization under an applicable NPDES permit. An operator's application for a permit is vital to the NPDES regulatory scheme, and failure to seek permit coverage undermines the statutory and regulatory purposes of the CWA. The application for a permit is essential to the EPA's ability to consistently monitor, evaluate, and make informed decisions regarding each facility, and to assess whether and what type of pollution controls are needed to maintain or restore water quality. The permit process enables the EPA or a State to ensure that operators are implementing best management practices and are operating with an appropriate stormwater management program.

4.3.1.2 Sample results from the EPA's inspection showed that Respondents' stormwater discharges contained several pollutants that are harmful to human health and aquatic species, including petroleum, zinc, copper, and lead. In addition, the potential for environmental harm is high. During the EPA's inspection of the facility, few management practices appeared to have been implemented, no apparent spill prevention or cleanup measures were being taken, and no treatment measures were in place to prevent pollution of stormwater discharging from the site. The segment of the Green River to which the facility discharges supports beneficial uses that are highly impacted by such pollutants. These beneficial uses include core summer habitat for char, primary contact recreation, domestic water supply, and

wildlife habitat. The Green River flows directly into the Duwamish River, which is listed on the National Priorities List under the Comprehensive Environmental, Response, Compensation and Liability Act. The EPA and the State of Washington are in the midst of developing a cleanup plan for the Duwamish, including identifying source control measures. Respondents' facility is one of the many industrial sources contaminating the Duwamish River.

- 4.3.2 Respondents' Ability to Pay: Complainant has no information indicating that Respondents are unable to pay a penalty up to the statutory maximum penalty for these violations. Complainant will consider any information submitted by Respondents related to their ability to pay a penalty.
- 4.3.3 Respondents' History of Prior Violations: The EPA is unaware of Respondents having any history of prior violations of the CWA at this facility.
- 4.3.4 Respondents' Degree of Culpability: As set forth in Paragraphs 3.9, 3.10, 3.12, and 3.14, the EPA informed Respondents several times of the permit requirements for auto recyclers that discharge stormwater. Notifications of permit requirements were given to Respondents in early November, 2011, February 24, 2012, March 29, 2012, and culminated in a Notice of Violation from the EPA on July 3, 2012. Even after the EPA issued a Notice of Violation on July 3, 2012, Respondents did not seek permit coverage from the Department of Ecology until October 4, 2012.
- 4.3.5 Respondents' Economic Benefit: Respondents received an economic benefit by avoiding the costs of complying with a permit, including labor and service costs for performing sampling and monitoring, preparing annual reports and installing and certifying that proper BMP practices are reviewed, revised, and carried out.

4.3.6 Other Matters as Justice May Require: There are no facts justifying the use of this factor to adjust the penalty amount.

V. OPPORTUNITY TO REQUEST A HEARING

- 5.1. Respondents have the right to file an Answer requesting a hearing on any material fact contained in this Amended Complaint or on the appropriateness of the penalty proposed herein. Upon request, the Presiding Officer may hold a hearing for the assessment of these civil penalties, conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Part 22 Rules and the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 551 et seq. A copy of the Part 22 Rules accompanies this Amended Complaint.
- 5.2. Respondents' Answer[s], including any request for hearing, must be in writing and must be filed with:

Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101

VI. FAILURE TO FILE AN ANSWER

- 6.1. To avoid a default order being entered pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.17,

 Respondents must file a written Answer to this Amended Complaint with the Regional Hearing

 Clerk within twenty (20) days after service of this Amended Complaint.
- 6.2. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.15, Respondents' Answer[s] must clearly and directly admit, deny, or explain each of the factual allegations contained in this Complaint with regard to which Respondents have any knowledge. Respondents' Answer[s] must also state: (1) the circumstances or arguments which are alleged to constitute the grounds of defense; (2) the facts which Respondents intend to place at issue; and (3) whether a hearing is requested. Failure

24

25

to admit, deny or explain any material factual allegations contained herein constitutes an admission of the allegation.

VII. INFORMAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

7.1. Whether or not Respondents request a hearing, Respondents may request an informal settlement conference to discuss the facts of this case, the proposed penalty, and the possibility of settling this matter. To request such a settlement conference, Respondents should contact:

Elizabeth McKenna Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-0016

- 7.2. Note that a request for an informal settlement conference does not extend the twenty (20) day period of filing a written Answer to this Amended Complaint, nor does it waive Respondents' right to request a hearing.
- 7.3. Respondents are advised that, after the Complaint is issued, the Part 22 Rules prohibit any *ex parte* (unilateral) discussion of the merits of these or any other factually related proceedings with the Administrator, the Environmental Appeals Board or its members, the Regional Judicial Officer, the Presiding Officer, or any other person who is likely to advise these officials in the decision of this case.

VIII. RESERVATIONS

8.1. Neither assessment nor payment of an administrative civil penalty pursuant to this Complaint shall affect Respondents' continuing obligation to comply with: (1) the CWA and all other environmental statutes; (2) the terms and conditions of all applicable CWA permits; and (3)

COMPLAINT - 13

DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2013-0123

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101

1				
1	any Compliance Order issued to Respondents under Section 309(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C.			
2	§ 1319(a), concerning the violations alleged herein.			
3				
4	Dated this //rday of July, 2013			
5				
6	#11/10			
7	Jone of fulli			
8	Edward J. Kowalski, Director Office of Compliance and Enforcement			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE			
2	I certify that the foregoing Complaint was sent to the following persons, in the manne specified, on the date below:			
4	Original and one copy, hand-delivered:			
5	Candace Smith, Regional Hearing Clerk U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10			
6	1200 Sixth Avenue, Mail Stop ORC-158 Seattle, WA 98101			
7	Seattle, WA 98101			
8	A true and correct copy, by certified mail, return receipt requested:			
9	Mr. Dennis Reynolds			
10	Dennis Reynolds Law Office 200 Winslow Way W.			
11	Suite 380 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110			
12	Troy Peterson			
13 14	Special Interest Auto Wrecking, LLC P.O. Box 1207 Kent, WA 98035			
15				
16	Dated: July 16, 2013 Sharon Eng			
17				
18	U.S. EPA Region 10			
19				
20				
21				
22 23				
24				
and t	4			

COMPLAINT - 15

DOCKET NO. CWA-10-2013-0123

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 Seattle, Washington 98101

fit is a second of the second